

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,022 02/27/2002		Koji Kunii	450100-03800	2689
20999 7	590 09/08/2005		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.		ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2677	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/086,022	TAKASE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Abbas I. Abdulselam	2677			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	arrandr. Note the attached office	Action of 101111 1 0-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	·				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/086,022

Art Unit: 2677

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis et al. (USPN 6470497) in view of Tsuji et al. (USPN 6522347).

Regarding claims 1 and 5-7, Ellis teaches an electronic program schedule system (10), whose components can be located in a user's set-up cable converter box or other signal reception or processing device (col. 4, lines 50-60). Ellis discloses a data provider, which is a program information provider, and the data stream containing program schedule information for all television programs and similar services (col. 4, lines 67 and col. 5, lines 1-5). Ellis teaches a micro-controller (16) that recognizes the received data as and application software, which controls the program, schedule system and stores it Rom, EEPROM (20) (col. 5, lines 42-47). Further, Ellis teaches a micro-controller (16) issuing

Application/Control Number: 10/086,022

Art Unit: 2677

proper control commands to a program circuit (21), which is adapted to supply the proper program voltage and logic control signals (22) required to erase and write to the EEPROM (20). See col. 5, lines 61-65. In addition, Ellis discloses the micro-controller (16) functioning through taking the program schedule information and supplying to a video display generator VDG (23) See. Col. 6, lines 34-44. Ellis mentions the use of remote controllers whose functions can be integrated into a keypad on the user's cable box or other hardware See col. 8, lines 65-67.

Ellis does not specifically teach "establishing means for establishing preset information for presenting a program for unattended recording based on an application input by a user referring to the program information displayed by the displaying means." On the other hand, Ellis teaches that when a user selects a future program, it is possible to set a VCR timer for programmed recording. For example, Ellis teaches that when a user presses an ENTER button (44) on the remote control device while a future program is selected, the user can set a VCR timer to control the VCR at the scheduled time at which a REMINDER message is displayed. See col. 14, lines 32-41.

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Ellis's selection of future program for the purpose of setting and recording a program ahead of time. One would have been motivated in view of Ellis that future program selection along with the use of VCR equivalently establishes preset information for presenting a program for unattended recording.

In addition, Ellis teaches that various components of the electronic program schedule (10) as shown in that can be mounted in a separate housing, or included as part of a television receiver, VCR, personal computer, or multimedia player; or reside as a

Art Unit: 2677

distributed application in a broadband network architecture (col. 4, lines 50-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that at the time the invention was made to ascertain that a distributed application in a network structure involves synchronization in order to achieve compatibility among the elements in thee network architecture. In addition, Ellis teaches application software with respect to program schedule information, and indicates that the application software can be configured to carry out other tasks (col. 6, lines 26-33). Thus, it would have been obvious that the application program can be configured with respect to the user's preference.

Ellis does not teach displaying a user's schedule list and a preset information such that the preset information is distinguishable from the user's schedule.

Tsuji on the other hand teaches as shown in FIG. 23C an example of a screen with which when information notification is preset and when a set time reaches, sound information such as melody is output from the sound-output section and concurrently, a pop-up menu 326 indicating a schedule outline as shown in this figure is displayed adjacent to a building icon 324 in which the schedule has been input (col. 37, lines 47-56).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ellis'program schedule system shown in Fig. 1 to adapt Tsuji's way concurrent display (324, 326) as shown in Fig. 23C because the use of concurrent display helps utilize schedule display area more efficiently as taught by Tsuji.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,022

Art Unit: 2677

Regarding claim 2, Ellis teaches a micro-controller (16) issuing proper control commands to a reprogram circuit, which is adapted for writing and erasing. See col. 5, lines 61-67.

Regarding claim 3, Ellis discloses that that the system may be configured to display program schedule information for multiple channels or multiple time periods. See col. lines 50-54.

Regarding claim 4, Ellis teaches icons (47A 47B) that may be displayed on a TV screen directly above the corresponding distinguishing color-coded key. See col. 8, lines 8-21 and Fig. 4.

Regarding claims 8-14, Ellis teaches application software with respect to program schedule information, and indicates that the application software can be configured to carry out other tasks (col. 6, lines 26-33). Thus, it would have been obvious that the application program can be configured with respect to the user's preference

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/086,022 Page 6

Art Unit: 2677

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Abbas I Abdulselam whose telephone number is (571)

272-7685. The examiner can normally be reached on from Monday through Friday from

9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abbas Abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

September 2, 2005

XIAO WU

RDIMARY FYAMINER